

#### Jozef Syktus - Research Professor

School of the Environment, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Contribution from Ralph Trancoso, Sarah Chapman, Rohan Eccles and others Climate Projections and Services, Department of Energy and Climate, Qld Government

•Overview of Queensland Future Climate Programme & Climate Projections and Services

•Analysis of projected precipitation trends from CMIP5/CMIP6 projections

University of Warsaw – 24 May 2024 Poland

#### **Poland vs Queensland**



Size: 321 mil km2 Population: 36.8 mil GDP: 688 mild USD

Emissions: EU legally binding target of a 55% reduction by 2030, target 90% by 2040 relative to 1990 emission levels. Poland ??



Size: 1729 mil km2 Population: 5.2 mil GDP: 334 mild USD

Emissions: Legislation – requiring Queensland to cut emissions by 30 per cent on 2005 levels by 2030, 75 per cent by 2035, and reach net zero by 2050

# Queensland Future Climate Science Program in 2024:

# climate modelling, analysis, and services

Ralph Trancoso<sup>1</sup>, Jozef Syktus<sup>2</sup>, Sarah Chapman<sup>1</sup>, Nathan Toombs<sup>1</sup>, David Ahrens<sup>1</sup>, David Owens<sup>1</sup>, Hong Zhang<sup>1</sup>, David Putland<sup>1</sup>, Ryan McGloin<sup>1</sup>, Rohan Eccles<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Energy and Climate, Queensland Covernment, Brisbane, Australia. <sup>2</sup> The University of Queensland, School of The Environment, Brisbane, Australia.







## The Queensland Future Climate Science Program

- Collaboration between Queensland Government and University of Queensland;
- Dynamically downscaling of future climate simulations;
- Translation of climate projections into climate services to underpin adaptation and preparedness for natural disasters;
- Phase 1: 11 CMIP5 GCMs under 2 RCPs with 10 km of resolution over Queensland.
- Phase 2: 11 CMIP6 GCMs (15 ensembles) under 4 SSPs with 10 km of resolution over Australia.

# Team expertise and niche

## **Climate modelling**

- Regional climate modelling
- O Convection Permitting modelling
  - Statistical downscaling (to develop)

#### **Climate extremes and** hazards



- O Heatwaves
- O Extreme Temperature
- **Extreme Precipitation** 0
- O Drought
- Wetness and Floods
- Fire Weather
  - O Tropical Cyclones



F

- <sup>o</sup> Convective Extremes
- Compound extremes 0
- Marine hazards 0

## **Climate analytics**

- O Global Climate Models analysis
- Regional Climate Models analysis
  - O Data visualization
- o Bias correction
  - O Generalized Extreme Value
  - Machine Learning (to develop)

## **Climate services**



- Data portals 0
- O Regionalization portals
- O Translation of knowledge (storytelling case studies and storyline approach)
- <sup>o</sup> GWL approach services
- Documentation and communication products
- <sup>o</sup> Knowledge brokerage

## Climate Projections & Services workflow





# CMIP6 downscaling approach using CCAM

- Conformal Cubic Atmospheric Model (CCAM) CSIRO
- Global model with stretched grid (C288 grid) and maximum resolution over Australia (**10km**)
- Bias-corrected SSTs and sea ice
- 35 vertical levels in the atmosphere and 30 in the ocean, parameterization scale aware<sup>®</sup>
- 15 ensemble runs (**5 ocean coupled**)
- Forced using the CMIP6 radiative forcings for 4 SSPs, 64 simulations in total, +6718 years
  - **SSP1-2.6**: Sustainability
  - SSP2-4.5: Middle of the road
  - **SSP3-7.0**: Regional rivalry
  - SSP5-8.5: Fossil-fuelled development (stress testing)





## Dynamically downscaling of CMIP6 models using CCAM

CMIP6 Model	Model full name	Resolution	Ensemble member	CCAM setup
ACCESS-ESM1.5	Australian Community Climate and Earth System	1.875 x 1.25°	r6i1p1f1	atmospheric
	Simulator, v. 1.5, CCAM atmospheric		r20i1p1f1	atm-ocean coupled
			r40i1p1f1	atm-ocean coupled
ACCESS_CM2	Australian Community Climate and Earth System Simulator, version 2	1.875 x 1.25°	r2i1p1f1	atm-ocean coupled
CMCC-ESM2	Centro Euro-Mediterraneo sui Cambiamenti Climatici	0.9 x 1.25°	rli1p1f1	atmospheric
CNRM-CM6-1-HR	Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques	0.5 x 0.5°	r1i1p1f2	atmospheric
	resolution		rli1p1f2	atm-ocean coupled
EC-Earth3	European Community Earth-System Model, version 3	0.8 x 0.8°	rlilplfl	atmospheric
FGOALS-g3	Flexible Global Ocean-Atmosphere-Land System Model, grid point version 3	2.5 x 2.5	r4i1p1f1	atmospheric
GFDL-ESM4	Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory Earth System Model, version 4	1 x 1°	rlilplfl	atmospheric
GISS-E2-2-G	Goddard Institute for Space Studies Model E2.2G	2. x 2.5°	r2i1p1f2	atmospheric
MPI-ESM1-2-LR	Max Planck Institute Earth System Model,	1.9 x 1.9	r9i1p1f1	atmospheric

# **RESULTS - AUSTRALIA CMIP6 GCMS & CCAM VS OBSERVATION CORRELATION AND RMSE**

- Less spread in CMIP6-CCAM than in CMIP6 (due to bias correction of SSTs)
- Downscaling improves RMSE for all variables
- Correlation improved fo all variables

Chapman et al., 2023 Earth's Future, v.11(11) e2023EF003548



#### **RESULTS - AUSTRALIA WIDE**

- For majority of metrics and models, downscaling improves results
  - KGE and Perkins for seasonal and daily temp, and seasonal precip improves all models
  - Seasonal cycle temp improves all models except 1
  - Seasonal cycle precip improves for all except 4
- Overall model score improves for all models except GFDL-ESM4



#### **Projected Change – Temperature Australia**



#### **Projected Temperature Change - 2090 CMIP6 vs CCAM**



12

#### **Projected Precipitation Change - 2090 CMIP6 vs CCAM**



### Projected Heatwave Change – 2090 CCAM

Consistent increased in number, duration, frequency and intensity



#### **Climate change impacts over Australia under high emissions by end of century**



#### The Queensland Future Climate Science Program Datasets Advances in Water Resources UNDERSTAND | ADAPT | TRANSITION Volume 147, January 2021, 103825 **TERN** Data Discovery Porta Impacts of climate change on streamflow and Pathways to a climate floodplain inundation in a coastal subtropical resilient Queensland Papers Catchment Queensland Future Climate Dataset – Downscalec CMIP5 climate projections for RCP8.5 and RCP4.5 Queensland Climate Adaptation Strategy 2017-2030 + Add to Mendeley 🔩 Share 😗 Cite Citation and Identifie How to cite this collect https://doi.org/10.1016/Ladvwatres.2020.10382 Get rights and con ktus, J., Toombs, N., Wong, K., Tran Under a Creative Commons license · Open acce tus@ug.edu.i Science of the Total Environment 742 (2020) 140521 Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Rights and Lice Queensland Future Clim Service for CMIP5 Clima Projections Science of the Total Environment 0 0 Heatwaves intensification in Australia: A consistent trajectory across (Desile for past, present and future Ralph Trancoso \*\*, Jozef Syktus\*, Nathan Toombs<sup>b</sup>, David Ahrens<sup>b</sup> ttps://app.longpaddock.gld.gov.au/climateFacts/ Kenneth Koon-Ho Wong<sup>b</sup>, Ramona Dalla Pozza<sup>b</sup> Shapefie 2 PDF 2 HIGHLIGHTS GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT Climate Change **Queensland Future Climate:** Heatwayes have intensified in rece past and are projected to increase faste Understanding the data Case studies s may last up to a month it https://app.longpaddock.qld.gov.au/water/ Risk/Hazard assessments Queensland Future Climate: Water security https://app.longpaddock.gld.gov.au/heatwave/





Future climate portal

Queensland Future Climate Dashboa

ean Climate Heatwaves Extreme temperature indices Extreme precipitation indices SPI-drought indices SPI-flood indice

ensigned's climate is highly variable in space and time, ranging spatially from the wet tropics to savanna woodancts and anid desens. The State is impacted with episodic droughts, floods and tropical cyclor uptis may persist for a number of years. Namia variability occurs at interannial, quali-decade and centernia time scales. Uncerstanding our climate variability and itary future climate no is outified and anticitation and extensiones.





Server Work Nazel Asservance for Queensland (SWN-Q) aims to understand the postential impacts of modelind ournet and Ature to regional postence (TGG on postellon mentes and elements Cali Infrastructure in Queensland. This interactive visualisation platform provides regional and wind postend for groups and locations over Queensland. It is composed of drop-down menus, me Les ab Lelles anteellos used to evaluate hazard for regions, the regional hazard levels displayed in the Average Becurrece Interval/Annual Exceedance Probability plots are higher than the hazard levels and down where to calculate in those regions. The regional hazard levels displayed in the Average Becurrece Interval/Annual Exceedance Probability plots are higher than the hazard levels and down that are located in those regions.



# CMIP6 dashboard

- 3 emissions scenarios (new!)
- 15 ensemble runs (new!)
- 50+ metrics
- 200+ regions
- Point-based locations (new!)

#### **Queensland Future Climate Dashboard**



Queensland's climate is highly variable in space and time, ranging from tropical wet to arid in space and from extremely wet to extremely dry over time. Understanding how our future climate and climate variability is subject to changes is crucial for adaptation and preparedness.



### Data Publication - CORDEX-CMIP6 Regional Projections from the Queensland Future Climate Science Program





Academic rigour, journalistic flair



A storm cell over Brisbane in 2014. (AAP Image/Dan Peled)

Email

y Twitter

Facebook

in LinkedIn

Print

Australia's climate, already marked by <u>extremes with bushfires, heatwaves, storms</u> and <u>coastal flooding</u>, is only set to worsen with the <u>growing effects of climate</u> <u>change</u>.

Disasters like the <u>Black Summer bushfires</u> of 2019–20 and the 2022 eastern Australian floods are likely to become <u>more frequent and intense</u>.

If carbon emissions continue at the current rate, climate change may make Australia <u>unbearable for future generations</u>. It's a confronting outlook, and we need better tools to understand future impacts so we can adapt to them. Authors

Ralph Trancoso
Adjunct Associate Professor in Climate Change, The
University of Queenstand

Jozef Syktus Professorial Research Fellow, School of the Environment, The University of Queensland

Sarah Chapman Visiting Research Fellow, University of Leeds

THE CONVERSATION

Arts Books + Ideas Business + Economy Education Environment + Energy Health Politics Science + Tech



original. Idrees Mohammad/EPA

Email X X (Twitter)
Facebook 146
In Linkedin

Three to five billion people – or up to two-thirds of the world's population – are set to be affected by projected rainfall changes by the end of the century unless the world rapidly ramps up emissions reduction efforts, according to <u>new</u> <u>research</u> by myself and colleagues.

Ralph Trancoso Adjunct Associate Professor in Climate Change, The University of Queensland

Q Search analysis, research, academics.

### nature communications

Article | Open access | Published: 11 January 2024

# Significantly wetter or drier future conditions for one to two thirds of the world's population

Ralph Trancoso <sup>M</sup>, Jozef Syktus, Richard P. Allan, Jacky Croke, Ove Hoegh-Guldberg & Robin Chadwick

*Nature Communications* **15**, Article number: 483 (2024) <u>Cite this article</u>

20k Accesses 5 Citations 273 Altmetric

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-023-44513-3

THE CONVERSATION

Arts Books + Ideas Business + Economy Education Environment + Energy Health Politics Science + Tech



Q Search analysis, research, academic

**Ralph Trancoso** 

iversity of Queensland

diunct Associate Professor in Climate Change. Th

original. Idrees Mohammad/EPA

Email

X X (Twitter)

Faceboo

In LinkedIn

Three to five billion people – or up to two-thirds of the world's population – are set to be affected by projected rainfall changes by the end of the century unless the world rapidly ramps up emissions reduction efforts, according to <u>new</u> research by myself and colleagues.

## Significantly wetter or drier future conditions for one to two thirds of the world's population

Jozef Syktus – School of the Environment, The University of Queensland **Ralph Trancoso** (paper lead author) - Department of Energy and Climate/UQ Adjunct A/Prof Richard P. Allan – University of Reading Jacky Croke – Queensland University of Technology Ove Hoegh-Guldberg - The University of Queensland Robin Chadwick - University of Exeter & UK Met Office



CREATE CHANGE













Universitv of Exeter

Global climate models project temperature to rise under increased emissions with consensual agreement





- Precipitation is complex to simulate due to various influencing factors, including:
- •diverse physics represented by GCMs (Knutti et al 2013),
- •their sensitivity to radiative forcing, rate of warming (Meehl et al 2023; Hausfather et al 2022) and to aerosols radiative cooling (Salzman 2016; Baek & Lora 2021),
- •sea surface temperature variability (Wang et al 2014) and patterns (Good et a 2021),
- •internal climate fluctuations operating at timescales varying from intra-
- seasonal to multi-decadal e.g., the El Niño (Cai et al 2021), the IOD (Kent et al 2015),
- PDO (Li et al 2020), SAM (Gillet & Fyfe 2013) and NAM (Thompson & Wallace, 2001) Future projections from GCMs do not align over time, amplifying the heterogeneity of multiple projections (McSweeney & Jones 2013; Rowell 2012),
- More ensembles over time along with computational power tends to expand the spread of the climate change signal of precipitation and increase uncertainty.
- To reconcile the wide range of precipitation projections from multiple GCMs, new approaches are needed (Trenberth & Dai 2003; Maher et al 2021).

Temporal aggregations are inadequate for heterogeneous variables like precipitation. Excessive temporal averaging (e.g., 20 years) does not retain critical information and may obscure insights into the direction of changes. Typically, **ensemble average** is presented to express projected changes.

We present a novel approach that analyses trends in continuous, longterm time-series from multiple GCM ensembles and quantifies the agreement of wetter or drier conditions from all available model simulations.

## Objectives

- detect global warming-induced wetting and drying patterns,
- understand differences between CMIP5/6 GCM generations,
- determine seasonal dominance, and
- identify "hotspots" of drier and wetter conditions with potential global human impacts.

## Data and approach

#### Data

•146 GCMs: 67 CMIP5 and 79 CMIP6 resampled to 1.5  $^\circ$ 

```
•Intermediate (RCP4.5 / SSP2-4.5) and very high (RCP8.5 / SSP5-8.5) emissions scenarios
```

•Period 1981-2100 (120 years) – constrain natural variability

•Annual, DJF, MAM, JJA, SON

#### Long-term monotonic trends

•Non-parametric statistics – Rainfall time-series do not meet parametric stats assumptions.

•Mann-Kendall (significance) and Theil-Sen slope estimator (trend slope). Method account for autocorrelation.



## Approach

- The assessment of continuous trends in time-series is a more comprehensive way to understand how global warming affects precipitation totals because it samples the entire time-series, aligns with the nature of radiative forcing, and does not employ temporal averaging.
- All pairs of points are factored in  $(T_2 T_1, T_3 T_2 \dots T_n T_{n-1})$  instead of  $T_{future} T_{baseline}$ .



# Approach

#### **Multi-model agreement**

Percentage of GCMs with a robust long-term drying and wetting signal.
Assesses the time-series of individual models and produces an integrated multi-model agreement quantification.

It utilised 120-year time-series of annual and seasonal precipitation totals of the 146 GCMs, interrogating the time-series of individual grid-cells as follows:

Whether statistically significant trends (p < 0.05) have been detected;</li>
 The direction of trends (slope) to determine if it was undergoing wetting or drying;
 Whether the cumulative trend over the 120-year period (slope) shifted by at least 10% the local regime (or whether the 120-year change is at least 10% as large as the mean).

**Country- and state-scale impacts** 

- •Spatial masks of wetting and drying agreement (50 e 66% agreement thresholds)
- •Affected population current (Tatem 2017) and future (Wang et al 2022) gridded data (1km)
- •Regionalization by country and states globally
- •Seasonal dominance which season has contributed the most to the annual trends

## **Findings** Global hotspots of wetter and drier conditions

Hotspots of wetter and drier future conditions – consistent across CMIP generations, seasons and scenarios and the agreement across MO (a) Intermediate emissions – RCP4.5 / SSP2–4.5 (67 GCMs) Annual



Drying and Wetting agreement across multiple CMIP5 and CMIP6 GCMs (%)

100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100

## **Findings** Global hotspots of wetter and drier conditions across seasons

Intermediate emissions - RCP4.5 / SSP2-4.5 (67 GCMs) (a) DJF (b) MAM



(c) JJA

(d) SON



Drying and Wetting agreement across multiple CMIP5 and CMIP6 GCMs (%)



## **Findings** Country and state-level impacts – Annual scale



Findings

Drying and wetting agreement masks

Country-scale impacted population



Drying and Wetting agreement across multiple CMIP5 and CMIP6 GCMs (%)

100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100

## **Findings** Impacts on global population by country

**Three billion people** are projected to be impacted by changes in precipitation **under intermediate emissions**. However, if emissions are not curbed, **five billion people** or two thirds of world's population could be affected.



## **Findings** Seasonal dominance of drying and wetting patterns

**Seasonal dominance of drying** (a) *RCP4.5 / SSP2-4.5* 



(c) RCP8.5 / SSP5-8.5



**Seasonal dominance of wetting** (b) *RCP4.5 / SSP2-4.5* 



(d) RCP8.5 / SSP5-8.5



DJF

MAM



SON

## Findings *European region*

Significant hotspot of drying over the southern Europe & wetting over Scandinavia. Poland tendency towards wetter winter and drier summer





#### Drying and wetting agreement masks

	А	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L	Μ	Ν	0	Р	Q	R
					Drying	agree	ement	(%)		Wettir	g agree	ement	t (%)	median % change in P	Affected popul	ation (current)	Affected popu	ation (future)
-	ID	NAME	COUNTRY	ann	djf	mam	jja	sor	n an	n djf	mam	jja	son	over 120-year	wet	dry	wet	dry
24.	0 24		Polarid	0.5	0.7	0.7	00.0	10.0	5 50.4	72.0	40.0	7.5	20.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24:	.7 24	15 Kujawsko-pomorskie	Poland	6.2	0.0	0.8	51.6	8.4	44.7	79.4	54.4	7.1	33.0	8.9	160829.3	0.0	143937.4	0.0
24:	.8 24	16 Lubuskie	Poland	5.9	1.0	0.2	59.5	10.5	34.2	72.5	47.1	8.8	32.0	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24:	.9 24	17 Lódzkie	Poland	3.9	0.0	1.3	55.7	9.1	32.7	79.9	52.9	5.5	24.2	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
242	24	18 Lubelskie	Poland	6.1	0.5	0.8	59.6	11.8	30.6	85.1	56.2	2.7	15.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
242	1 24	19 Malopolskie	Poland	7.6	0.7	1.6	62.4	13.9	24.3	80.0	48.9	2.9	19.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
242	2 24	20 Mazowieckie	Poland	3.2	0.0	0.7	52.8	9.1	37.1	85.6	57.2	5.8	24.9	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
242	3 24	21 Opolskie	Poland	4.1	1.1	1.2	60.3	10.1	27.4	74.9	47.4	5.5	24.4	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
242	4 24	22 Podlaskie	Poland	1.4	0.0	0.1	51.8	6.5	43.7	89.5	61.6	7.6	30.7	9.3	90258.7	0.0	79645.9	0.0
242	5 24	23 Podkarpackie	Poland	11.6	1.3	1.1	63.0	15.2	25.9	80.8	48.5	2.7	13.2	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
242	6 24	24 Pomorskie	Poland	2.6	0.0	0.3	47.0	5.1	64.2	87.6	56.5	11.5	41.2	13.2	2345659.6	0.0	2216720.4	0.0
242	7 24	25 Swietokrzyskie	Poland	6.5	0.9	1.2	60.6	13.3	25.6	81.8	52.7	2.8	18.2	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
242	8 24	26 Slaskie	Poland	4.8	0.3	1.3	60.0	12.1	26.5	80.2	48.6	3.2	23.1	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
242	9 24	27 Warminsko-mazurskie	Poland	3.0	0.0	0.0	47.0	5.8	54.2	88.9	61.3	9.2	37.3	11.3	1160728.3	0.0	1100352.3	0.0
243	0 24	28 Wielkopolskie	Poland	6.1	0.0	0.9	55.9	9.9	36.2	76.0	50.5	8.4	28.5	5.6	2637.4	0.0	1870.7	0.0
243	1 24	29 Zachodniopomorskie	Poland	6.5	0.7	0.0	55.6	10.0	46.8	77.8	52.2	9.5	34.6	9.2	588110.6	0.0	534446.6	0.0

164 Poland

Europe

2.0 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.0 45.3 43.5 45.1 42.9 45.1

8.9

#### Supplementary material - regionalization at sub-country level

#### Drying and wetting agreement masks

	A		В	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	N	1
32			NAME	1	Drying	agree	ment (	(%)	1	Nettin	g agree	ement	: (%)	%)
-	ID	N		ann	djf	mam	ija	son	ann	djf	mam	jja	sor	ı
2416	2414	Dolnoslaskie		6.5	0.7	0.7	<b>0.8</b>	10.8	30.4	72.0	46.8	7.5	28.5	
2417	2415	Kujawsko-pomorskie		6.2	0.0	0.8	51.6	8.4	44.7	79.4	54.4	7.1	33.0	
2418	2416	Lubuskie		5.9	1.0	0.2	59.5	10.5	34.2	72.5	47.1	8.8	32.0	
2419	2417	Lódzkie		3.9	0.0	1.3	55.7	9.1	32.7	79.9	52.9	5.5	24.2	
2420	2418	Lubelskie		6.1	0.5	0.8	59.6	11.8	30.6	85.1	56.2	2.7	15.0	
2421	2419	Malopolskie		7.6	0.7	1.6	62.4	13.9	24.3	80.0	48.9	2.9	19.1	*
2422	2420	Mazowieckie		3.2	0.0	0.7	52.8	9.1	37.1	85.6	57.2	5.8	24.9	
2423	2421	Opolskie		4.1	1.1	1.2	60.3	10.1	27.4	74.9	47.4	5.5	24.4	
2424	2422	Podlaskie		1.4	0.0	0.1	51.8	6.5	43.7	89.5	61.6	7.6	30.7	2
2425	2423	Podkarpackie		11.6	1.3	1.1	63.0	15.2	25.9	80.8	48.5	2.7	13.2	>
2426	2424	Pomorskie		2.6	0.0	0.3	47.0	5.1	64.2	87.6	56.5	11.5	41.2	>
2427	2425	Swietokrzyskie		6.5	0.9	1.2	60.6	13.3	25.6	81.8	52.7	2.8	18.2	
2428	2426	Slaskie		4.8	0.3	1.3	60.0	12.1	26.5	80.2	48.6	3.2	23.1	
2429	2427	Warminsko-mazurskie		3.0	0.0	0.0	47.0	5.8	54.2	88.9	61.3	9.2	37.3	>
2430	2428	Wielkopolskie		6.1	0.0	0.9	55.9	9.9	36.2	76.0	50.5	8.4	28.5	-
2431	2429	Zachodniopomorskie		6.5	0.7	0.0	55.6	10.0	46.8	77.8	52.2	9.5	34.6	

## Findings Australian region

Parts of Australia with strong drying agreement, large parts with poor model agreement impacted by model bias in Indo-Pacific tropical ocean ENSO impacted region.

Moderate emissions (67 GCMs)



Very high emissions (79 GCMs)



Drying and Wetting agreement across multiple CMIP5 and CMIP6 GCMs (%)



## Summary

This study estimates the **extent of the global population** to be affected by significant **long-term changes in precipitation due to human-caused global warming**.

The analysis provides an **intermediate to high emissions envelope** for how the global population is projected to be impacted by future changes in long-term precipitation totals based on the **agreement of precipitation projections from multiple climate models**.

The approach detected **agreement across multiple models in future wetting and drying trends**, revealing critical information on how precipitation is projected to change under scenarios associated with continued GHG emissions.

By examining the time-series of individual models with flexible trend detection methods, the approach provides a more robust quantification of change, summarising critical multi-model information

Further innovation is the **quantification of precipitation changes at country- and state-scale and their potentially exposed populations**. These findings can directly assist with designing 'fit for purpose' climate adaptation policies and **reduce uncertainty in which direction precipitation is projected to change globally** under different emissions levels.

# Limitations

- Resolution CMIP6 GCMs 50-250 km
- Parameterized clouds, convection, aerosols
- Models have spread in mean climate (historical baseline eg. 1981-2010)
- Model bias in SSTs i.e tropical Pacific cold tongue bias, Southern Ocean ...
- Need for downscaling/km scale, convection permitting models, better physical processes especially related to hydrological cycle

## Daily rainfall (colour fill) & pressure (contour line)

### CCAM 50 km





# Maximum daily rainfall amount more than twice greater in high resolution model & rainfall events more localised

#### WHY DOWNSCALING?





Precipitation (mm/day)